



SYNERGY OF SATELLITE OPTICAL AND MICROWAVE OBSERVATIONS FOR BETTER SNOW COVER MONITORING

Peter Romanov

Cooperative Institute for Climate Studies, University of Maryland
Center for Satellite Applications and Research, NOAA/NESDIS

OUTLINE


- Motivation
- Existing techniques and products
- NESDIS Multisensor Snow/Ice Mapping System
- Currents issues and plans

SNOW COVER: NCEP* MODELS NEEDS

- Continental to global scale coverage
- Spatial continuity (no gaps)
- Spatial resolution better than model grid size
 - < 8 km (target: 1 km)
- Daily updates (target: 2 times a day)
- Operational
- High accuracy, no biases


*NCEP: National Centers for Environmental Prediction of NWS

INTERACTIVE SNOW AND ICE MAPS (IMS)



- Routine operations since 1972
- Maps drawn by analysts
- Produced daily
- Northern Hemisphere coverage
- ~24km resolution since 1998
- ~4 km resolution since 2004
- “Snow” or “no snow”
- Used in all NOAA NWP models

OPTICAL SNOW MAPPING




High spatial resolution (up to 0.5 km)

Gaps due to clouds (~40% of land area)

Needs daylight

Accuracy: ~90-95% in clear sky conditions




Geo vs polar satellites:

- Less cloud gaps but
- Limited area coverage

Daily AVHRR snow map (NOAA NESDIS)

SNOW MAPPING IN MICROWAVE

- All weather, day/night capability
- Coarse spatial resolution (~ 15...100 km)
- Underestimates snow in spring and fall
- Overestimates snow in the mountains
- Accuracy: ~75-80%



HOW TO COMBINE TWO PRODUCTS ?

NWP and climate model needs

High accuracy



No biases (regional or seasonal)

Daily update (continuity)

High spatial resolution

What is the optimal way to combine two techniques/products ?

Snow cover products
Optical *Microwave*





NSIDC BLENDED MAP (Armstrong et al.)

Features

25 km resolution

Weekly

SSMI+MODIS



NASA BLENDED MAP (Foster et al. 2007)


Features

Daily


Global

25 km resolution

MODIS+AMSR-E




- Heavily relies on MW retrievals
- MW errors propagate into the blended product



WHAT'S DIFFERENT IN NESDIS APPROACH ?



- Snow products from multiple sensors/platforms (polar, geo)
 - Allows for more conservative snow mapping from individual sensors
- More cautious approach to using microwave retrievals
- Extensive use of auxiliary data in the blending
 - Snow climatology
 - Terrain (mountains vs plains)
 - Vegetation cover (forest vs grasslands)
- Recurrent technique (inertial first guess)
 - “Day-1” product complements remaining gaps in current day product

PROCESSING MICROWAVE DATA



- Snow retrievals from 3 satellites (6 overpasses per day)
- “Confirmed” snow: when snow is detected 3 or more times in a day
- Only “confirmed snow” over low elevation areas is further used
- Not used:
 - “No snow” identifications
 - Snow in mountains
 - Snow over mixed land/water scenes

USE OF SNOW COVER CLIMATOLOGY



Snow frequency of occurrence

Based on NOAA weekly snow charts 1972-1998




Merging Optical and MW snow

“Snow Persistent”: Add snow from both optical and MW


“Snow Possible”: Optical snow when clear, MW when cloudy

“Snow Unlikely”: Optical only, only elevated areas ($H > 1$ km)

SOUTHERN HEMISPHERE




- Snow is mapped solely with optical data
 - NOAA AVHRR: South America, Australia, New Zealand
 - MSG SEVIRI: South Africa
- Antarctica is assumed snow covered



NESDIS MULTISENSOR SNOW/ICE MAPPING SYSTEM

North America: since 2000, Global: since 2006




- Automated
- Daily
- Global
- 4 km resolution

Current configuration: 8 satellite sensors

Imager/GOES-E and -W (geo)
SEVIRI/MSG (geo)

SSM/I(S)/DMSP-15,16,17 (polar, microwave)
AVHRR/NOAA-17, 18 (polar, vis/IR)


VALIDATION AGAINST SURFACE OBS




Comparison performed daily

Up to 2700 snow reports used

Most stations are in midlatitudes



SATELLITE MAPS VS SURFACE OBSERVATIONS OF SNOW




Satellite and surface data agree in about 85% of cases in the middle of the snow season

Yearly average correspondence is about 90%

COMPARISON OF AUTOMATED AND INTERACTIVE MAPS

Comparison of ORA Autosnow Map with IMS Map Product (2006092)



Overall Agreement (blue: both snow & green: both land) = 96.4481%


Fraction of Detected Snow (blue/(blue+yellow), Yellow for IMS snow & ORA non-snow) = 94.6473%

False Alarm Rate (red/(red+green), Red for IMS non-snow & ORA snow) = 1.87475%

- Rate of agreement between automated and interactive maps: 93-98%
- Correspondence between microwave and interactive maps: 80-85%

COMPARISON WITH INTERACTIVE SNOW MAPS



- Pixel by pixel comparison of mapped snow distribution
- Northern Hemisphere above 25 N, daily data



SNOW EXTENT

- Automated vs Interactive maps: snow extent

Eurasia snow extent



Mean absolute difference in estimated NH snow extent

Daily: ~4%

Monthly: ~1.5%

Mean bias: ~ -1%

ISSUES AND PLANS

Things to keep in mind

- Blending algorithm should be tailored to particular OPT and MW products
- Alternating use of optical and MW may cause spurious snow variations
- Inertial first guess: error propagation into next day product

What's next:

- METOP AVHRR
- 1 km resolution, SH in 2011, NH in 2013 (?)
- Reprocessing historical NOAA AVHRR and SSMI data

LINKS

NESDIS Automated snow remote sensing page:

<http://www.star.nesdis.noaa.gov/smcd/emb/snow/HTML/snow.htm>

NOAA Interactive snow charts:

<http://www.natice.noaa.gov/ims/>

Peter Romanov

peter.romanov@noaa.gov

THANK YOU



BACKUP SLIDES



GENERAL STRATEGY TO COMBINING OPT/MW


1. Utilize derived products (snow maps) rather than radiances
2. Use optical retrievals where possible
 - High spatial resolution
 - Better snow identification accuracy
3. Complement daily map with microwave retrievals
 - Coarser resolution, lower accuracy but provide continuity

SUMMARY


- Synergy of optical and MW:
 - Powerful approach, providing better snow cover product
 - Easy to implement if individual products are available
 - Part of improvement is due to the use of auxiliary datasets (snow climatology, vegetation cover type, elevation)

APPLICATION TO EOS DATA

- The same (slightly modified) approach have been used to combine MODIS and AMSR-E products into a blended snow map





- Available since 2002
- Daily global maps
- 5 km nominal resolution
- Generated routinely




BLENDED EOS vs INTERACTIVE SNOW MAPS

- Daily blended snow maps generated with
 - MODIS only
 - AMSR-E only
 - Combined MODIS and AMSR-E



Rate of agreement between EOS-based blended maps and NOAA interactive map

OPTICAL-MW CONTRIBUTION



Blue: optical sensor data used

White: MW sensor data used

- Microwave retrievals contribute most during snow advance (November-January)
- Optical retrievals contribute most in spring (snow retreat)

LINKS

NESDIS Automated snow remote sensing page:

<http://www.star.nesdis.noaa.gov/smcd/emb/snow/HTML/snow.htm>

NOAA Interactive snow charts:

<http://www.natice.noaa.gov/ims/>

Blended MODIS and AMSR-E daily maps at NESDIS

<http://www.star.nesdis.noaa.gov/smcd/emb/snow/HTML/>

[combined_eos_snow.html](#)

Peter Romanov

peter.romanov@noaa.gov